



**XORIJY TILLARNI O'QITISHDA INNOVATSION
YONDASHUVLAR NAZARIYANING AMALIYOTGA TATBIQI**
mavzusidagi respublika ilmiy-amaliy anjumani

**ANALYSIS OF LEXICAL UNITS REPRESENTING AND CONTRADICTING
THE CONCEPT OF PEACE IN GEORGE ORWELL'S "1984"**

O'rmonova Durdonaxon Tavakkaljon kizi
*Fergana region Fergana district School
№ 55, English language teacher*

Alimova Zarifa Vaxobovna
*Fergana State University,
Doctor of Philosophy in Philology
durdonakhonurmonova@gmail.com*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15179444>

Abstract: *This article explores the linguistic units used in George Orwell's novel "1984" to convey the concept of peace and its paradoxical application within the context of totalitarianism. Through a detailed linguistic analysis, this research identifies the methods employed to manipulate meaning and reinforce power structures, ultimately demonstrating how Orwell critiques the distortion of language in political discourse.*

Keywords: *George Orwell, 1984, Newspeak, peace, propaganda, lexical analysis, political language, totalitarianism.*

Introduction: Language serves as a fundamental instrument in shaping ideology and controlling perception. George Orwell's *1984* is a prime example of how language can be weaponized to construct an alternative reality in which concepts such as peace acquire paradoxical and even contradictory meanings. In this novel, Orwell introduces "Newspeak," a controlled language designed to limit free thought and reinforce Party doctrine.

This study focuses on the lexical items in *1984* that denote peace, examining both their conventional meanings and their ideological reinterpretation under the Party's rule. The paper also investigates the broader implications of Orwell's linguistic choices, particularly in relation to real-world political discourse and its manipulative potential.

Main Body. To analyze Orwell's manipulation of the concept of peace, it is essential to examine his linguistic theories, particularly as expressed in "*1984*" and his essay "Politics and the English Language." Orwell was deeply concerned with the power of language to shape thought and suppress dissent. His creation of Newspeak illustrates how a totalitarian regime can redefine words to eliminate opposition and enforce ideological conformity.

One of the most striking paradoxes in "*1984*" is the Party's slogan: "*War is Peace.*" This phrase exemplifies doublethink, a cognitive process that enables individuals to hold contradictory beliefs simultaneously. In Newspeak, "peace" does



XORIJY TILLARNI O'QITISHDA INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLAR NAZARIYANING AMALIYOTGA TATBIQI

mavzusidagi respublika ilmiy-amaliy anjumani

not imply the absence of conflict but rather a state of submission to Party control. This section analyzes key lexical units related to peace in “1984”, such as:

- **“War is Peace”** – A contradiction designed to instill acceptance of perpetual war as a stabilizing force.

- **“Victory” and “Security”** – Terms that subtly replace traditional notions of peace with the triumph of Party ideology.

- **“Unpeace” (hypothetical Newspeak term)** – A concept that could exist within the framework of Orwellian language to denote anything not aligned with Party-sanctioned peace.

The Party’s control over information ensures that peace is never understood as a state of tranquility or harmony but rather as submission to authority. This section explores the role of:

- **The Ministry of Peace** – Contrary to its name, this institution is responsible for waging war, reinforcing the idea that peace equates to conflict.

- **Historical Revisionism** – The rewriting of history ensures that past events align with current Party doctrine, altering the perceived meaning of peace over time.

- **Media Control** – The manipulation of language in official reports creates an illusion of stability while justifying continued warfare.

Contrasting Representations of Peace in Orwell’s Narrative

While the Party imposes an artificial interpretation of peace, Orwell also provides glimpses of genuine peace in resistance movements and individual acts of defiance.

- **Winston and Julia’s Rebellion** – Their love affair as a brief moment of authentic peace outside the Party’s influence.

- **The Proles** – A segment of society that, despite being ignored by the Party, retains a more traditional understanding of peace and freedom.

- **O’Brien’s Indoctrination of Winston** – A case study in linguistic coercion, where Winston is forced to accept the Party’s definition of peace.

Implications of Orwell’s Linguistic Critique in Contemporary Contexts

Orwell’s insights into linguistic manipulation remain relevant in modern political discourse.

- **Political Euphemisms** – Contemporary parallels, such as “collateral damage” for civilian casualties or “enhanced interrogation” for torture.

- **Media Framing and Perception Management** – How language is used to shape public opinion in geopolitical conflicts.



XORIJIY TILLARNI O'QITISHDA INNOVATSION YONDASHUVLAR NAZARIYANING AMALIYOTGA TATBIQI

mavzusidagi respublika ilmiy-amaliy anjumani

• **Digital Newspeak** – The role of social media algorithms in reinforcing particular narratives and restricting linguistic diversity.

Conclusion: Orwell's "1984" provides a powerful analysis of how language can be systematically distorted to serve political ends. The Party's redefinition of peace serves as a cautionary example of the dangers inherent in linguistic control. By studying Orwell's use of paradoxical language, we gain insight into the mechanisms of ideological manipulation and the ongoing struggle to preserve linguistic integrity in political communication.

The findings of this research highlight the importance of critical literacy in recognizing and resisting manipulative language. Orwell's message remains as relevant as ever, reminding us that language is not merely a tool of communication but a battleground for truth and power.

References:

1. Orwell, G. (1949). *1984*. Secker & Warburg.
2. Orwell, G. (1946). *Politics and the English Language*.
3. Crystal, D. (2019). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of the English Language*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Fairclough, N. (2001). *Language and Power*. Routledge.
5. Lakoff, G. (2004). *Don't Think of an Elephant!* Chelsea Green Publishing.
6. Postman, N. (1985). *Amusing Ourselves to Death: Public Discourse in the Age of Show Business*. Penguin Books.